UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,068	10/28/2003	J. Stewart Young	4002-3432	5996
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP Suite 3700 Park One Center/Tenner			EXAMINER	
			CUMBERLEDGE, JERRY L	
Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/695,068	YOUNG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JERRY CUMBERLEDGE	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-18,21 and 31-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-18,21 and 31-33 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ed.			
Application Papers				
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defendance of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The amendment filed 03/28/2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Applicant uses the term "blade" in claim 33. However, this term is not found in the specification. The term "blade" in context of the present art is generally a pointed tip found at the end of a hook portion. Applicant uses the term "tip" in the specification (*i.e.* ref. 363), but does not refer to the tip as being pointed or otherwise bladed. The tip of reference 363 will be considered to be the "blade" portion for examination purposes.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 10-16, 21, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,068 Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

Jackson discloses a vertebral support apparatus said apparatus comprising: first and second spinal rods (Fig. 1, refs. 4); a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5), wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft (Fig. 3) and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3); a first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 14) including a first internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), said first rod contacting said first internal surface (Fig. 3); and a second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 11) including a first end unitary and integral with the shaft at a position axially displaced from the first hook (Fig. 3), said second hook terminating at a second end spaced laterally from the shaft (Fig. 3) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), wherein said shaft includes a first threaded hole associated with said first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 22), and a set screw (Fig. 3, ref. 25) extends through said first threaded hole contacting said first rod (Fig. 3) and forcing said first rod against said first internal surface (Fig. 3), and wherein said shaft includes a second threaded hole (Fig. 3, near ref. 12)(Fig. 2) associated with said second hook (Fig. 3), and a set screw (Fig. 3, ref. 29) extends through said second threaded hole contacting said second rod (Fig. 3). The first end, the second end of the second hook, and the shaft define a first plane and the first hook extends laterally from the shaft along the first plane (Fig. 3). The shaft defines a substantially planar plate (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3). The apparatus comprises a first threaded aperture (Fig. 3, ref. 22) through said shaft and said curved portion of the first hook (Fig. 3). The first hook is secured to the first spinal rod and the second hook is secured to a second spinal rod (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3), The apparatus is formed as a one-piece unit (Fig. 3).

Jackson discloses a method of treating a spinal deformity, said method comprising: securing a first spinal rod and a second spinal rod to two or more vertebrae (Fig. 1); providing an apparatus according to claim 1 (above); and interconnecting the first spinal rod and the second spinal rod by securing the first spinal rod to the first hook and the second spinal rod to the second hook (Fig. 1).

Jackson discloses an interconnection apparatus for securing a pair of elongate members, said apparatus comprising: a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5), wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3, ref. 5); a first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 14) including a first internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3) configured to at least partly encircle a first one of the pair of non-parallel, elongate members (Fig. 1); and a second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 20) including a first end unitary and integral with the shaft at a position axially displaced from the first hook (Fig. 1, end of ref. 11), said second hook terminating at a second end (Fig. 3) spaced laterally from the shaft (Fig. 3) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3), and said shaft, first hook and second hook being a one-piece unit (Fig. 2). The apparatus comprises a first spinal rod secured to the first rod connector (Fig. 1, ref. 4) and a second spinal rod secured to the second rod connector (Fig. 1, ref. 4). The axial distance between said first hook and said second hook is permanent and non-adjustable (Fig. 3).

Jackson discloses a vertebral support apparatus comprising: first and second elongated support rods (Fig. 1, refs. 4); a one-piece connector engaging both of said rods (Fig. 3, ref. 5), said connector having a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5),

wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3, ref. 5), said connector further having a first hook portion (Fig. 3, ref. 14) with a blade (i.e. tip, as discussed above, in the objection) (Fig. 3) laterally spaced from said shaft and pointing generally along the direction of said shaft first internal surface having a curved portion configured to at least partly encircle a first one of the pair of non-parallel, elongate members (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3), said connector farther having a second hook portion (Fig. 3, ref. 11) with a blade (Fig. 3)(i.e. tip, as discussed above, in the objection) laterally spaced from said shaft and pointing generally along the direction of said shaft and a first end attached to said shaft at a position displaced along said shaft from said first hook (Fig. 3), said second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 11) terminating at a second end spaced laterally from said shaft (Fig. 3, end of ref. 11) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), wherein said first rod is locked in contact with said first internal surface of said first hook, and said second rod is locked in contact with said saddle, said first rod and said second rod being non-parallel.

Page 5

Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for the curved portion including a ridge extending along said curved portion in a direction from the first end to the second end, wherein said second rod contacts said ridge; the second internal surface curves both in a first direction from the shaft to the second end and in a second direction oblique to the first direction, wherein said curves in said first and second directions are overlapping and intersecting; the internal surface curves in a second direction substantially orthogonal to the first direction; the internal surface curves in a second

direction at an acute angle to the first direction; the internal surface curves in a second direction at an obtuse angle to the first direction; and a saddle extending along said curved portion in a direction from said first end to said second end. Jackson does disclose a set screw that presses a thread into the rod in order to provide stability to the construct (column 2, lines 66-67)(column 3, lines 1-10).

Miskew discloses a spinal apparatus (Fig. 7) that comprises hooks (Fig. 5, ref. 74) that comprise ridges (or saddles) (Fig. 5, ref. 78) that extend along the curved portion of the hooks and produce curves that arch in an oblique direction relative to the curve of the hook and create an internal surface that curves in a direction substantially orthogonal to the first direction. The ridges being used to press into bone (Fig. 5) in order to provide stability to the construct (column 6, lines 29-38).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the thread mechanism disclosed by Jackson with the ridge mechanism disclosed by Miskew, in order to achieve the predictable result of providing stability to the construct.

With regard to the acute and obtuse angles of the ridge, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the ridge as being obtuse or acute, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401) in view of Lombardo (US Pat. 6,238,396 B1).

Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for the shaft having a round or oval cross-sectional profile and the shaft being curved. Jackson does disclose a shaft that is used to connect two hooks (Fig. 3, ref. 5)

Lombardo discloses a spinal device that comprises hooks (Fig. 12A) that comprises a shaft that has a round profile (Fig. 12A, ref. 73) that can be either straight or curved (Fig. 12A)(Fig. 12B)(Fig. 12C). The shaft is used to connect two hooks (Fig. 12A)(Fig. 12B)(Fig. 12C).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the shaft of Jackson with any of the shafts of Lombardo in order to achieve the predictable result of connecting two hooks.

Claims 7, 8, 17, 18 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401) in view of Jackson (US Pat. 5,980,523).

Jackson '248 discloses the claimed invention except for the first spinal rod and the second spinal rod are positioned to lie non-parallel to each other. The first spinal rod and the second spinal rod are positioned to not lie in the same plane.

Jackson '523 discloses a spinal stabilization system that comprises rods that are positioned non-parallel and non-planar to one another (Fig. 25). This allows for greater adjustability during surgical procedures (column 5, lines 28-47).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the device of Jackson '248 with the spinal rods being positioned to lie non-parallel and non-planar to one another, in order to allow for greater adjustability during surgical procedures (column 5, lines 28-47).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY CUMBERLEDGE whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,068 Page 9

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733